



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,046	10/31/2003	Toshiyuki Kawashima	50T5400.01	1170
27774	7590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC			YENKE, BRIAN P	
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/699,046	KAWASHIMA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2614		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dy Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Americal Section is FINAL</u> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the condition of the practice of the condition is the practice of the condition of the cond	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to be objected	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/699,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The drawing filed on 12 Sep 05 are approved by the examiner.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 Sep 05 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8-13, 17, 19 and 21-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al., US 5,103,302.

In considering claims 1, 8-13, 17, 19 and 21-24,

Yoshida et al., as admitted by applicant discloses a projection system which includes three image projecting sources (Fig 11, 1R/G/B) onto a screen (abstract) wherein each lens assembly (2R/G/B, Fig 6) includes a plurality of lens elements (2R-1, 2R-2, 2R-3 and 2R-4) for power, aberration and field flattening. Yoshida also discloses a clapper (shading element) 4R which is mounted to aberration lens 2R-1 (Fig 6). Yoshida also discloses that the clapper does not occupy the entire area of the lens (Fig 7A/B, 9A/B, 10A/B/C, 12A/B, 14-18).

The applicant states the novel purpose of the pending application is to affix the at least one lens element to a shading element which has a shape and orientation on the lens element, without using the conventionally used (as done by Yoshida) plates.

However, given the broadest interpretation Yoshida still anticipates the pending claims as recited above, since Yoshida's plate (grating element) is affixed to the lens assembly (via aberration lens 2R-1, Fig 6), which is used to increase the color uniformity of the screen (includes edges and center).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-7, 14-16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al., US 5,103,302.

In considering claims 2-7, 18 and 20,

Yoshida does not explicitly recite the above recited claimed features. However, these nomimal features are conventionally used and widely available to a designer/user whom wishes to implement these desired choices into a particular design/system. Thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding such elements.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yoshida which discloses a three lens projection system for a CRT which increases the color reproduction of each lens, by utilizing conventional lens elements (i.e. opaque, translucent etc...) based upon the needs/desirability of the system.

In considering claims 14-16,

Yoshida does not explicitly recite the nominal features of alignment of the lens.

However, the alignment of a lens in a projection system is notoriously well known in order to provide a accurate reproduction of image data onto a screen. Thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding such alignment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yoshida which disclose a three lens projection system for a CRT which increases the color reproduction of each lens, by aligning each lens in the system as done conventionally in order to accurately reproduce the input image data for viewing.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/699,046

Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571)272-7352.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)305-HELP.

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/699,046

Art Unit: 2614

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

Page 6

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications

Application/Control Number: 10/699,046

Art Unit: 2614

Page 7

and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.P.Y

16 November 2005